

# Freedom and control: implications of student demand-driven university funding for student choice

Trudi Cooper,

Australian Learning and Teaching Fellow

Edith Cowan University, Australia



#### **Australian Government**

#### Department of Education and Training

 Support for this project has been provided by the Australian Government Department of Education and Training. The views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the Australian Government Department of Education and Training





## This presentation

- Case study of how university policy has affected student choice of courses in social professions
- Implications for freedom and control in HE
- Programme funded by Australian government to mitigate adverse affects





# Background

- Bradley Report (2008) recommended changes to Australian university funding model from centrally planned to demand driven funding,
- Implemented in 2012





## What changed

#### Previous central funding model:

- 1) institutions received subsidies for an agreed number of places for domestic students on each course, and
- 2) allocation of funded places was capped –each degree had an allocation of government subsidised places

#### Replaced by current demand-driven:

- 1) funding provided to universities follows student course preferences, and
- 2) no caps on numbers of subsidised places available to domestic students





# Rationale for change

- Claims for funding change
  - 1) Universities would become more responsive to student demand and
  - -2) Student choice would be better served
- Did this happen?





## Case study

- Desk research conducted into the availability of degrees in specialist social professions
- Examined areas such as disability studies, youth work, gerontology, and community mental health
- Identified options to mitigate unintended adverse outcomes of demand driven policy





## Findings

#### In all disciplines

- Geographical availability of degrees was limited prior to 2012
- Availability of specialist degrees had been in decline since 2000, and
- Decline had been more rapid after 2012





## Cause and effect?

- Decline in availability commenced prior to 2012
- Centrally planned funding slowed this trend
- Rate of decline increased after 2012
- Some degree courses have almost completely disappeared (disability studies, community mental health)





# Funding model and competition

#### Central planning

- Directed funded places to areas of social and economic need
- Allocated funding according to likely graduate employment and social need
- Controlled degree mix within universities and across the sector
- Resulted in scarcity of places (relative to student demand) in some disciplines





# Funding model and competition

- "Demand-driven" funding
  - Allowed universities to decide their degree mix
  - Resulted in universities axing small specialist degrees in favour of profitable large-enrolment generic degrees
  - Abolished oversight of sector-wide degree mix and abolished links to social or economic need
  - Reduced diversity of courses and student degree choice overall
  - Exacerbated graduate unemployment





# Why important?

- Limited geographic availability important because Australian domestic students reside at home
- Imperfect market: Unmet need for graduates in specialist social professions could not counter this decline (as would be argued under perfect competition)
- Social need: Australian Government social policy requires specialist graduates,
  - examples National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS),
     Domestic and Family Violence, Aging-in-place Age Care Policy, Closing the Gap; Youth Justice Reinvestment and Countering Violent Extremism





## Freedom and control

- Raises issues about freedom and control
  - whose freedom should be prioritised (national interest, student preference, employability, knowledge for its own sake, university profit) and
  - who decides mix and balance of courses universities should offer (universities? government?)
- Students cannot choose degrees universities decide not to offer
- Universities do not act like 'classical' markets





## What can be done?

- To mitigate unintended policy consequences I was granted funding for an Australian Fellowship in 2016, to promote university collaboration
- Achieving economic sustainability for niche social profession courses in the Australian higher education sector - a nationwide collaborative strategy





## Purposes of programme

#### Purposes:

- to improve the diversity of course offerings through inter-institutional collaboration,
- to achieve change within the Australian higher education sector





# Diversity through collaboration

- Precedent for collaboration
- Inter-institutional collaboration has been used successfully in a variety of disciplines (Dow, 2008; Goodrich & McCauley, 2009; Robertson & Shannon, 2009; Schmidt & Molkentin, 2015).
- Will it be sustainable in current competitive environment?





## Methods

- Action research approach
- Currently nine Australian universities
- Purpose:
  - Find out what collaboration arrangements can be successful in a competitive environment
  - Implement these arrangements





# Progress to date

- Identified potential models for collaboration,
- Identified additional specialism at risk (career development)
- Next steps
  - find out how institutions perceive the benefits and barriers to collaboration in a competitive higher education environment, and
  - whether (and how) barriers to collaboration can be overcome
  - Implement collaboration





# **Summary and Conclusion**

- Demand-driven funding model wrongly premised upon assumption that university sector operates as a classical market
- Demand-driven funding model allowed universities' self-interest to reduce degree diversity
- Has reduced student choice, and does not consider social or economic need or employability
- Project aims to partially counter this through interuniversity collaboration
  - to extend student choice and
  - address graduate shortages





## Contact

## A/ Prof Trudi Cooper PhD

Edith Cowan University Social Program Research and Evaluation (SPIRE)

+61 (08) 6304-5637 Work

+61 (0) 431734519 Mobile

t.cooper@ecu.edu.au

270, Joondalup Drive

Joondalup WA 6027